

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
4

5 **IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE**
6 **ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD
Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD

7 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

8 *Epic Games, Inc. v. Google LLC et al.*,
9 Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD

**[PROPOSED] ORDER ON EPIC GAMES,
INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER
PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE
SEALED**

11
12 Having considered Epic Games, Inc.'s ("Epic") Administrative Motion To Consider
13 Whether Another Party's Material Should Be Sealed, the Declaration of Michael J. Zaken in
14 Support of the Administrative Motion, any declaration by Defendants Google LLC, Google
15 Ireland Limited, Google Commerce Limited, Google Asia Pacific PTE. Limited and Google
16 Payment Corp. (collectively "Google"), any non-party declaration submitted in response and
17 Epic's response thereto, and pursuant to Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5;

18 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Document	Portion Containing Designated Information	Designating Party	Ruling
Epic's Opposition to Google's and Spotify's Administrative Motions to Seal Trial Exhibits and Portions of Deposition of Sandra Alzetta ("Epic's Opposition")	Page 1, Lines 10-12 (from after the sentence ending "is very different" to "to Google")	Google and Spotify USA, Inc.	
Epic's Opposition	Page 1, Lines 13-14 (from after "Spotify pays" to "when")	Google and Spotify USA, Inc	
Epic's Opposition	Page 1, Line 15 (to "of Google Play Billing")	Google and Spotify USA, Inc	

1 IT IS SO ORDERED.

2 Dated:

3
4
5 By: _____

6 THE HONORABLE JAMES DONATO
7 United States District Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27